IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc 26153-M of 2007

Date of decision: 27.4.2007

Shikha and anr …Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and ors …Respondents

Present: Mr Gaurav Bhaiya, Advocate, for
Mr RS Rana, Advocate, for the petitioners.

S.S. SARON, J.

This petition under Section 482 CrPC has been filed by the petitioners for issuance of necessary directions to respondents-2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty and for directing them not to harass and interfere in their married life at the instance of respondents-4 to 9. A further prayer is sought to be issued to the official respondents not to implicate the petitioners in some false and frivolous case or to unnecessarily harass them by calling them at the Police Station.

The petitioners are stated to be major and competent to take their decisions. Petitioner-2 is working in a Bank and is earning about Rs 15,000/- per month. They knew each other for the last 3-4 years and developed liking for each other. The marriage between the petitioners was solemnized on 17.4.2007 at Temple Prachin Guga Mari, Ram Krishan Mandir, Sector 19D, Chandigarh. The certificate showing the solemnization of their marriage has been placed on record as Annexure P3. The parents of petitioner-1, it is stated are, however, not happy with the marriage and they

are at present agitated. The petitioners, therefore, have great fear for their life and liberty and also for the safety of petitioner-2. They, therefore, seek the indulgence of this Court to provide them security. The marriage was performed as per the sweet will of the petitioners without any pressure. The petitioners are also stated to have approached the SSP Ludhiana (respondent-2) vide application dated 19.4.2007 (Annexure P4). However, no action has been taken on it.

In the facts and circumstances of the present case, the petition is disposed of with a direction that in case the petitioners approach respondents-2 and 3 setting out their grievances as have been set out in the present petition, the same would be looked into by the respondents independently and in accordance with law.

27.4.2007. (S.S.SARON)
ASR JUDGE